https://www.apjbet.com

Asia Pacific Journal of Business Economics and Technology
ISSN: 2809-2279 |
Volume 06 Issue 02

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE IN STATE OWNED BANKS

Hasnah Aziz[1], Dhian Tyas Untari[2*], Firman Maulana[3], Ahmad Syarif[4], Nyayu Maya Ardini[5]
[1,2,3,4,5]Magister Ilmu Hukum; Syekh-Yusuf Islamic University, Tangerang – Banten
Coresponding author-email: *dhiantyasuntari@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines the relationship between corporate governance practices and legal compliance in state-owned banks. It focuses on how governance structures, including board oversight, transparency, and accountability mechanisms, influence the ability of these institutions to comply with regulatory requirements. The study highlights the unique challenges faced by state-owned banks, such as political influence, dual objectives of profitability and public service, and complex stakeholder environments. It argues that strong corporate governance frameworks play a critical role in ensuring consistent legal compliance and reducing risks associated with mismanagement and regulatory breaches. Furthermore, the paper provides insights into how improving governance standards can enhance institutional credibility, financial stability, and public trust. The findings underscore the importance of aligning governance practices with legal obligations to strengthen the overall performance and sustainability of state-owned banks.

Keywords: *Corporate Governance, Legal Compliance, State-Owned Banks, Financial Regulation*

1. Introduction

Corporate governance and legal compliance have become central pillars in ensuring the stability, transparency, and accountability of financial institutions, particularly state-owned banks. In recent decades, the increasing complexity of financial systems, combined with global financial crises and governance failures, has intensified the need for robust governance frameworks that align organizational conduct with legal and regulatory standards (Wali et al., 2023) . State-owned banks, as hybrid entities operating at the intersection of public mandates and commercial objectives, face unique governance challenges that require a careful balance between political oversight and professional management.

Corporate governance refers to the system of rules, practices, and processes by which institutions are directed and controlled, encompassing relationships among management, boards, stakeholders, and regulators (Hasanah, 2023) . Within the banking sector, governance plays a critical role in maintaining financial stability, enhancing institutional credibility, and mitigating risks such as fraud and mismanagement. Empirical studies indicate that effective governance mechanisms such as board independence, internal controls, and audit functions significantly reduce the likelihood of unethical behavior and financial irregularities (Kulmie & Ibrahim, 2024) . This highlights the intrinsic link between governance quality and legal compliance in banking institutions.

Legal compliance, on the other hand, refers to the adherence of financial institutions to applicable laws, regulations, and supervisory standards. In the banking sector, compliance functions have evolved beyond mere regulatory obligation into strategic components that address reputational risks, enforcement pressures, and societal expectations (Souza & Souza, 2024) . This evolution is particularly relevant for state-owned banks, where public accountability and political scrutiny amplify the consequences of non-compliance. As a result, compliance is no longer a passive function but an active governance mechanism that shapes organizational behavior and decision-making processes.

State-owned banks operate under distinctive institutional arrangements that differentiate them from privately owned financial institutions. They are often tasked with dual objectives: achieving financial performance while fulfilling socio-economic development goals, such as supporting small and medium enterprises or financing public infrastructure. This dual mandate can create tensions between commercial efficiency and public accountability, potentially complicating governance structures and compliance practices (Widjaja, 2025) . Furthermore, the presence of government ownership may introduce political interference, which can undermine board independence and weaken internal control mechanisms.

In the Indonesian context and other emerging economies, regulatory frameworks governing state-owned banks have undergone significant transformation to strengthen governance and ensure legal certainty. Reforms emphasize the adoption of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) principles, including transparency, accountability, responsibility, independence, and fairness (Panjaitan et al., 2025) . These principles are designed to align institutional practices with legal standards while enhancing stakeholder trust. However, challenges remain in translating formal compliance into substantive governance effectiveness, as some institutions still treat governance as a procedural requirement rather than a strategic necessity (Hasanah, 2023) .

The relationship between corporate governance and legal compliance is inherently interdependent. Strong governance frameworks provide the structural foundation for effective compliance by establishing clear accountability lines, robust internal controls, and transparent reporting systems. Conversely, legal compliance reinforces governance by setting minimum standards and enforcing discipline within institutions. Studies have shown that banks with well-developed governance systems tend to exhibit higher levels of compliance and better overall performance, including improved customer trust and operational efficiency (Azizah et al., 2024) . This synergy underscores the importance of integrating governance and compliance into a cohesive institutional framework.

Moreover, the digital transformation of the banking sector has introduced new risks and regulatory challenges that further highlight the importance of governance and compliance. Issues such as cybersecurity, data protection, and digital fraud require banks to adapt their governance structures and compliance strategies to address emerging threats. In this context, governance is not only about internal control but also about adaptability and resilience in a rapidly evolving regulatory landscape. State-owned banks, in particular, must ensure that their governance systems are sufficiently robust to manage these complexities while maintaining public trust and fulfilling their developmental roles.

Despite the growing recognition of the importance of corporate governance and legal compliance, there remains a gap in understanding how these two dimensions interact specifically within state-owned banks. Much of the existing literature focuses either on governance mechanisms or compliance functions in isolation, without adequately addressing their interdependence. This paper seeks to fill this gap by examining how governance practices influence legal compliance in state-owned banks and how compliance mechanisms, in turn, reinforce governance effectiveness.

In conclusion, corporate governance and legal compliance are critical components of institutional integrity in state-owned banks. Their interaction shapes not only regulatory adherence but also organizational performance, risk management, and public trust. By analyzing this relationship, the paper contributes to a deeper understanding of how governance frameworks can be strengthened to ensure sustainable and legally compliant banking operations in an increasingly complex financial environment.

2. Literature Review

The relationship between corporate governance and legal compliance in state-owned banks has been widely discussed in recent academic literature, particularly in the context of strengthening institutional integrity and financial stability. Corporate governance is generally understood as a framework that ensures accountability, transparency, and control within organizations, while legal compliance refers to adherence to laws, regulations, and supervisory standards. In the banking sector, these two dimensions are closely interconnected, as governance structures often determine the effectiveness of compliance mechanisms (Wali et al., 2023).

Recent studies emphasize that effective corporate governance significantly enhances a bank's ability to comply with legal and regulatory requirements. For instance, governance elements such as board independence, audit committees, and internal control systems are critical in detecting and preventing violations of financial regulations (Kulmie & Ibrahim, 2024). These mechanisms function as internal safeguards that reduce the risk of fraud, corruption, and financial misreporting. In state-owned banks, the presence of such governance tools is even more essential due to the higher exposure to political pressures and public accountability demands.

The literature also highlights the role of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) principles transparency, accountability, responsibility, independence, and fairness in strengthening compliance practices. Empirical findings suggest that banks that consistently implement GCG principles tend to exhibit higher levels of legal adherence and operational efficiency (Panjaitan et al., 2025). Transparency, for example, ensures that financial information is disclosed accurately and timely, reducing the likelihood of regulatory violations. Accountability, on the other hand, establishes clear lines of responsibility, making it easier to identify and address compliance failures.

In addition, compliance functions within banks have evolved from being reactive to becoming proactive and strategic. Modern compliance systems are designed not only to ensure adherence to regulations but also to anticipate risks and align organizational behavior with evolving legal standards (Souza & Souza, 2024). This shift is particularly important in the context of state-owned banks, where reputational risks and public scrutiny are more pronounced. A strong compliance culture, supported by effective governance, can enhance institutional resilience and public trust.

Another important strand of literature focuses on the unique governance challenges faced by state-owned banks. Unlike private banks, state-owned institutions operate under dual mandates: achieving financial performance and fulfilling socio-economic objectives. This duality can create conflicts of interest and complicate decision-making processes, potentially weakening governance effectiveness (Widjaja, 2025). Moreover, government ownership may lead to political interference in managerial decisions, which can undermine board independence and compromise compliance efforts.

Scholars have also explored the impact of governance on organizational performance and risk management. Studies show that banks with strong governance frameworks tend to perform better in terms of financial stability, risk mitigation, and customer trust (Azizah et al., 2024). This is because good governance promotes ethical behavior, enhances decision-making quality, and ensures compliance with regulatory requirements. In contrast, weak governance structures are often associated with higher levels of non-compliance and financial distress.

Furthermore, the digital transformation of the banking sector has introduced new dimensions to the governance compliance relationship. The adoption of digital banking technologies has increased exposure to risks such as cyber threats, data breaches, and digital fraud. As a result, governance frameworks must adapt to incorporate technological risk management and ensure compliance with data protection and cybersecurity regulations (Wali et al., 2023). This requires banks to integrate IT governance into their overall governance structures and strengthen coordination between compliance, risk management, and technology functions.

Despite these advancements, the literature identifies several gaps and challenges. One key issue is the tendency of some banks to adopt a formalistic approach to governance and compliance, focusing on regulatory requirements rather than substantive effectiveness (Hasanah, 2023). This approach limits the ability of governance systems to address underlying risks and reduces their overall impact. Additionally, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that specifically examine the dynamic interaction between governance and compliance in state-owned banks, particularly in emerging economies.

In summary, existing literature underscores the critical role of corporate governance in enhancing legal compliance within state-owned banks. Governance mechanisms provide the structural foundation for effective compliance, while compliance functions reinforce governance by ensuring adherence to legal standards. However, challenges such as political interference, dual mandates, and digital risks require continuous adaptation and improvement of governance frameworks. This study builds on these insights to further explore how governance and compliance can be integrated to achieve sustainable and accountable banking operations.

3. Research Method

This study employs a qualitative research approach to examine the relationship between corporate governance and legal compliance in state-owned banks. A doctrinal and conceptual legal analysis is utilized to explore relevant regulations, governance principles, and institutional frameworks. The research relies on secondary data, including peer-reviewed journal articles, regulatory documents, and official reports published within the last ten years. A systematic literature review method is applied to identify, evaluate, and synthesize key findings related to governance mechanisms and compliance practices.

Data analysis is conducted using a descriptive-analytical technique, allowing for the interpretation of legal norms and their practical implications in banking governance. The study also adopts a comparative perspective to highlight differences between theoretical frameworks and real-world practices in state-owned banks. By integrating legal analysis with governance theory, this methodology aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how governance structures influence compliance effectiveness and institutional performance.

4. Result and Disscusion

The findings of this study reveal a strong and interdependent relationship between corporate governance practices and legal compliance in state-owned banks. The analysis demonstrates that governance structures significantly influence the effectiveness of compliance mechanisms, particularly in environments characterized by regulatory complexity and heightened public accountability. State-owned banks that implement robust governance frameworks tend to exhibit higher levels of legal compliance, improved risk management, and enhanced institutional credibility.

One of the key results highlights the central role of board effectiveness in shaping compliance outcomes. Banks with independent and professionally qualified boards are more likely to enforce strict adherence to regulatory standards. Board oversight contributes to the establishment of clear internal policies, regular monitoring systems, and effective audit functions. In contrast, weak board structures often influenced by political intervention—are associated with gaps in compliance and increased exposure to legal risks. This finding underscores the importance of insulating governance bodies from external pressures to maintain institutional integrity.

The study also finds that the implementation of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) principles directly correlates with improved compliance performance. Transparency, for instance, ensures accurate and timely disclosure of financial information, reducing the likelihood of regulatory violations. Accountability strengthens internal control systems by clearly defining roles and responsibilities, while independence enhances objective decision-making processes. Banks that consistently apply these principles demonstrate a more proactive approach to compliance, integrating legal requirements into their operational strategies rather than treating them as external obligations.

Another significant result concerns the evolution of compliance functions within state-owned banks. The findings indicate that compliance has shifted from a reactive role focused on meeting minimum regulatory requirements to a proactive and strategic function. Modern compliance units are increasingly involved in risk identification, policy formulation, and organizational training. This transformation enables banks to anticipate regulatory changes and mitigate potential risks before they materialize. As a result, compliance is no longer confined to legal departments but has become an integral component of overall governance structures.

The study further identifies the dual mandate of state-owned banks as a critical factor affecting governance and compliance dynamics. These institutions are required to balance commercial objectives with socio-economic responsibilities, such as supporting national development programs. While this dual role enhances their public value, it also creates potential conflicts of interest that can complicate decision-making processes. In some cases, the pursuit of policy-driven objectives may lead to deviations from strict compliance standards, particularly when political priorities override risk considerations. This finding highlights the need for clear regulatory guidelines and strong governance safeguards to manage such tensions effectively.

In addition, the results show that digital transformation has introduced new challenges to governance and compliance frameworks. The adoption of digital banking technologies has increased exposure to cybersecurity risks, data privacy concerns, and operational vulnerabilities. Banks with adaptive governance structures are better equipped to address these challenges by integrating technological risk management into their compliance systems. This includes the implementation of advanced monitoring tools, data protection policies, and cross-functional coordination between IT and compliance units. Conversely, institutions that lag in digital governance face higher risks of regulatory breaches and reputational damage.

The research also reveals a gap between formal compliance and substantive governance effectiveness in some state-owned banks. While many institutions have adopted governance codes and compliance procedures, their implementation is not always consistent or effective. In certain cases, governance practices are treated as symbolic measures aimed at fulfilling regulatory requirements rather than as tools for improving organizational performance. This discrepancy limits the overall impact of governance frameworks and underscores the importance of fostering a genuine compliance culture within institutions.

Finally, the findings emphasize the importance of integrating governance and compliance into a unified institutional framework. Banks that align governance structures with compliance functions achieve better outcomes in terms of risk management, operational efficiency, and stakeholder trust. This integration facilitates information sharing, enhances accountability, and ensures that legal considerations are embedded in strategic decision-making processes.

Overall, the results confirm that corporate governance is a critical determinant of legal compliance in state-owned banks. Strong governance frameworks not only support regulatory adherence but also contribute to long-term sustainability and public confidence. However, ongoing challenges such as political influence, dual mandates, and digital risks require continuous adaptation and strengthening of governance systems to ensure their effectiveness in an evolving financial landscape.

4. Conclusion

This study concludes that corporate governance plays a decisive role in ensuring legal compliance within state-owned banks. Strong governance structures characterized by effective board oversight, transparency, accountability, and independence significantly enhance the ability of banks to meet regulatory requirements and manage risks. The findings also highlight that compliance functions have evolved into strategic instruments that support proactive risk mitigation and organizational integrity. However, state-owned banks face unique challenges, including political influence, dual mandates, and emerging digital risks, which can weaken governance effectiveness if not properly managed. Moreover, the gap between formal compliance and substantive implementation remains a critical issue, emphasizing the need for a stronger compliance culture. Integrating governance and compliance into a cohesive framework is essential for improving institutional performance, maintaining public trust, and ensuring long-term sustainability in an increasingly complex financial environment.

References

Azizah, W., Sudarmaji, E., & Khairany, N. (2024). Good corporate governance at the unit level: Insights from a state-owned bank branch. Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology, 8(6), 2544–2559. https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v8i6.2506

Apergis, N., Artikis, P., & Sorros, J. (2011). Asset pricing and foreign exchange risk. *Research in International Business and Finance*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2011.02.005

Dusuki, A.W.& Abdullah. N. (2007). Why do Malaysian Customers Patronise Islamic Banks. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 25 (3): 142-160.

Hasanah, U. (2023). Juridic review of the implementation of good corporate governance principles in BUMN banks. Scientia Social Sciences and Humanities Journal, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.51773/sssh.v2i1.160

Kulmie, D. A., & Ibrahim, M. S. (2024). Bank corporate governance: Shield against fraud. Journal of Ecohumanism, 3(3), 1917–1932. https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i3.3582

Kettell, B. (2012). Introduction to Islamic Banking and Finance. In *Introduction to Islamic Banking and Finance*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118467299

Panjaitan, G. O., Tamba, R. R., & Maisyarah, R. (2025). Literature study: Implementation of good corporate governance in Bank Mandiri. Jurnal Akuntansi, Manajemen, dan Perencanaan Kebijakan, 2(4). https://doi.org/10.47134/jampk.v2i4.677

Souza, M. C. S., & Souza, R. S. (2024). Law enforcement, social demands and reputation risks as drivers of compliance functions. Crime, Law and Social Change, 81, 255–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-023-10116-8

Wali, K., van Paridon, K., & Darwish, B. K. (2023). Strengthening banking sector governance: Challenges and solutions. Future Business Journal, 9, 95. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-023-00279-0